COVID-19 Nafamostat Observational Study in Japan: Preliminary Report

Fujita Health University Antiviral Observational Study Group

Introduction

Nafamostat mesylate, which will be referred to as nafamostat in this report, is a protease inhibitor that was approved for the treatment of acute pancreatitis in 1986 then disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC) in 1989 and has been used widely in hospitals in Japan.

The spike proteins of severe acute respiratory syndrome virus (SARS-CoV), Middle East respiratory syndrome virus (MERS-CoV) and severe acute respiratory syndrome 2 (SARS-CoV-2) are activated by transmembrane protease serine 2 (TMPRSS2) located on the cell surface before the viruses infect the cells¹⁻³⁾. Nafamostat has been shown to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 infection of the alveolar epithelial cells by inhibiting TMPRSS2^{4,5)}. In a case series of 11 severe to critical COVID-19 patients treated with a combination of nafamostat and favipiravir, an oral RNA polymerase inhibitor, 7 patients were successfully weaned from ventilator and 7 patients were discharged alive from hospital⁶.

The Antiviral Observational Study Group has primarily collected information on COVID-19 patients who have received favipiravir or ciclesonide. Here, we report the characteristics and outcome of patients who also received nafamostat in this cohort.

Methods

Patients with confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19, registered to the Antiviral Observational Study and given nafamostat during hospitalization were included. The majority of the patients also received favipiravir, ciclesonide, or both. The information collected included patient demographics, comorbidities, severity of disease, clinical outcome approximately one month after admission to the hospital, dose and duration of nafamostat, use of other medications to treat COVID-19. As for severity of disease, the disease severity recorded for the first day of favipiravir or ciclesonide, whichever was closer to the first day of nafamostat, was used. The study was approved by the institutional review board of Fujita Health University and Toho University.

Results

As of October 29, 2020, 699 COVID-19 patients who received nafamostat were registered to the study from 171 hospitals across Japan. Patient background was available in 690 cases, and clinical outcome at one month from admission was recorded in 515 cases.

In this cohort, 66.7% of the patients were male, 64.4% were age 60 or older, and 57.4% had at least one underlying diseases such as diabetes (Table 1). The majority (50.6%) had respiratory failure defined by oxygen saturation of 90% or lower. The A-DROP score, a prognostic scoring system developed for communityacquired pneumonia and recorded on the first day of favipiravir or ciclesonide in this study, classified 31.5%, 53.2%, 12% and 3.3% of the patients as having mild, moderate, severe and critical disease. In terms of other medications targeting COVID-19, 85.1% of the patients also received favipiravir, 51.6% ciclesonide, 18.9% dexamethasone, 10.9% methylprednisolone and 9.3% remdesivir. These medications were started prior to nafamostat in most instances (Table 2).

Administration of nafamostat was continuous infusion in 76% of the patients and intermittent infusion in 24% of the patients (Table 3). The median duration of treatment was 6 days. The median time from report of a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result to the start of the drug was 2 days, and the median time from admission to the start of the drug was 1 day. By defining mild, moderate and severe COVID-19 as disease not requiring supplemental oxygen, requiring supplemental oxygen, and requiring mechanical ventilation or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), respectively, 43% had mild disease, 42.5% had moderate disease, and 14.5% had severe disease.

Table 1. Demographics of patients with COVID-19 who received nafamostat

Variables	N	N	= 699	Variables	N	N	= 699
Age group	699			Favipiravir	698		
<10		1	(0.1%)	Given		594	(85.1%)
10-19		0	(0%)	Not given		104	(14.9%)
20-29		19	(2.7%)	Ciclesonide	684		
30-39		25	(3.6%)	Given		353	(51.6%)
40-49		89	(12.7%)	Not given		331	(48.4%)
50-59		115	(16.5%)	Lopinavir-ritonavir	699		
60-69		158	(22.6%)	Given		22	(3.1%)
70-79		157	(22.5%)	Not given		677	(96.9%)
80-89		101	(14.4%)	Methylprednisolone	699		
≥ 90		34	(4.9%)	Given		76	(10.9%)
Age	699			Not given		623	(89.1%)
≥60		450	(64.4%)	Dexamethasone	699		
<60		249	(35.6%)	Given		132	(18.9%)
Sex	699			Not given		567	(81.1%)
Female		233	(33.3%)	Camostat	699		
Male		466	(66.7%)	Given		24	(3.4%)
Diabetes	694			Not given		675	(96.6%)
Present		207	(29.8%)	Hydroxychloroquine	699		
Absent		487	(70.2%)	Given		40	(5.7%)
Cardiovascular diseases	696			Not given		659	(94.3%)
Present		211	(30.3%)	Remdesivir	699		
Absent		485	(69.7%)	Given		65	(9.3%)
Diabetes or cardiovascular				Not given		634	(90.7%)
diseases	695			Age ≥ 70 (male) or age ≥ 75 (female)	699	255	(36.5%)
Present		333	(47.9%)	BUN ≥21 mg/dL or dehydrated	594	141	(23.7%)
Absent		362	(52.1%)	Oxygen saturation ≤90%	589	298	(50.6%)
Chronic lung diseases	694			Disturbance of consciousness	591	62	(10.5%)
Present		77	(11.1%)	Systolic blood pressure ≤90 mmHg	586	16	(2.7%)
Absent		617	(88.9%)	A-DROP score	575		
Immunosuppression	691			Mild (0)		181	(31.5%)
Present		57	(8.2%)	Moderate (1)		185	(32.2%)
Absent		634	(91.8%)	Moderate (2)		121	(21.0%)
Any of the above				Severe (3)		69	(12.0%)
comorbidities	692			Critical (4)		15	(2.6%)
Present		397	(57.4%)	Critical (5)		4	(0.7%)
Absent		295	(42.6%)				

Table 2. Timing of start of other therapies targeting COVID-19

		N-000	(1) 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		N-000
		N=699			N=699
Favipiravir	489	(70.0%)	Favipiravir	90	(12.9%)
Ciclesonide	311	(44.5%)	Ciclesonide	34	(4.9%)
Lopinavir-ritonavir	16	(2.3%)	Lopinavir-ritonavir	3	(0.4%)
Camostat	10	(1.4%)	Camostat	10	(1.4%)
Hydroxychloroquine	30	(4.3%)	Hydroxychloroquine	7	(1.0%)
Remdesivir	26	(3.7%)	Remdesivir	36	(5.2%)
Dexamethasone	101	(14.4%)	Dexamethasone	28	(4.0%)
Methylprednisolone	52	(7.4%)	Methylprednisolone	23	(3.3%)
Tocilizumab	31	(4.4%)	Tocilizumab	39	(5.6%)

The numbers do not match Table 1 as dates were missing in some cases.

(a)	Dosing of	nafam	ostat						
Ν	Dosign			r	n (%)				
513	10 mg o	nce a	day	16	3 (3.1%)				
	10 mg t	wice a	day	14	4 (2.7%)				
	Other in	ntermi	ttent doses	98	3 (18.1%)				
	Continu	ious in	fusion	390) (76.0%)				
(b)	Duration o	of nafa	mostat						
Ν	Mean	SD	Median	Q1	Q3				
643	8	9.3	6	4	9				
(c)	(c) Days from positive PCR to first dose of nafamostat								
Ν	Mean	SD	Median	Q1	Q3				
678	3.5	4.3	2	1	5				
(d)	(d) Days from hospital admission to first dose of nafamostat								
Ν	Mean	SD	Median	Q1	Q 3				
682	3.8	8.8	1	0	4				

Table 3. Administration of nafamostat

Clinical outcome was assessed approximately one month into hospitalization as discharged alive, died in hospital, transferred for de-escalation of care, transferred for escalation of care, and still in hospital (Table 4). The mortality rates at the time of survey were 6.3%, 19.9% and 42.1% for mild, moderate and severe disease, respectively, for an overall one-month mortality rate of 17.3% (Table 4a). The mortality rates were higher in the higher age groups, at 15%, 18.8%, 44.9% and 50% for those in their sixties, seventies, eighties and nineties, respectively (Table 4b).

The approved mode of administration of nafamostat is intermittent infusion for acute pancreatitis and continuous infusion for DIC. Patients who received continuous infusion were more likely to be 60 years or older, have diabetes and have received additional COVID-19 therapy (favipiraivir, ciclesonide, dexamethasone, remdesivir) than those who received intermittent infusion (Table 5), but the one-month clinical outcome appeared to be comparable between the two groups (Table 6).

Table 4. Clinical outcome stratified by severity of disease and age groups in patients who received nafamostat

(a)	Severity of ill	ness				
		Died in	Transferred for	Still in hospital	Transferred for de-	Discharged
Outcome		hospital	escalation of care	(alive)	escalation of care	alive
(n=515)	Mild	14 (6.3%)	14 (6.3%)	10 (4.5%)	18 (8.1%)	167 (74.9%)
	Moderate	43 (19.9%)	19 (8.8%)	19 (8.8%)	22 (10.2%)	113 (52.3%)
	Severe	32 (42.1%)	2 (2.6%)	9 (11.8%)	14 (18.4%)	19 (25.0%)
	Total	89 (17.3%)	35 (6.8%)	38 (7.4%)	54 (10.5%)	299 (58.1%)

(b)	Age	groups
-----	-----	--------

		D	ied in	Trans	ferred for	Still	in hospital	Transferre	d for de-	Discl	narged
Outcome		ho	ospital	escalat	ion of care	((alive)	escalation	n of care	al	ive
(n=515)	<10	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	10-19	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)
	20-29	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	0	(0%)	7	(100%)
	30-39	0	(0%)	1	(7.1%)	1	(7.1%)	0	(0%)	12	(85.7%)
	40-49	1	(1.5%)	6	(9.1%)	4	(6.1%)	7	(10.6%)	48	(72.7%)
	50 - 59	2	(2.2%)	9	(9.9%)	4	(4.4%)	7	(7.7%)	69	(75.8%)
	60-69	18	(15.0%)	7	(5.8%)	11	(9.2%)	16	(13.3%)	68	(56.7%)
	70-79	22	(18.8%)	9	(7.7%)	8	(6.8%)	12	(10.3%)	66	(56.4%)
	80-89	35	(44.9%)	3	(3.8%)	8	(10.3%)	6	(7.7%)	26	(33.3%)
	≥90	11	(50.0%)	0	(0%)	2	(9.1%)	6	(27.3%)	3	(13.6%)
	Total	89	(17.3%)	35	(6.8%)	38	(7.4%)	54	(10.5%)	299	(58.1%)

Variahlas	N	Inte	rmittent,	Con	tinuous,	Variables	N	Inte	ermittent,	Con	tinuous,
variables	1	N	1 = 93	N	= 420	variables	14		N = 93	N	= 420
Age group	513					Favipiravir	512				
<10		0	(0%)	0	(0%)	Given		43	(46.2%)	373	(89.0%)
10-19		0	(0%)	0	(0%)	Not given		50	(53.8%)	46	(11.0%)
20-29		9	(9.7%)	6	(1.4%)	Ciclesonide	508				
30-39		8	(8.6%)	9	(2.1%)	Given		23	(24.7%)	236	(56.9%)
40-49		14	(15.1%)	48	(11.4%)	Not given		70	(75.3%)	179	(43.1%)
50-59		19	(20.4%)	65	(15.5%)	Lopinavir–ritonavir	513				
60-69		14	(15.1%)	98	(23.3%)	Given		4	(4.3%)	8	(1.9%)
70-79		14	(15.1%)	107	(25.5%)	Not given		89	(95.7%)	412	(98.1%)
80-89		10	(10.8%)	65	(15.5%)	Methylprednisolone	513				
≥90		5	(5.4%)	22	(5.2%)	Given		7	(7.5%)	51	(12.1%)
Age	513					Not given		86	(92.5%)	369	(87.9%)
≥ 60		43	(46.2%)	292	(69.5%)	Dexamethasone	513				
<60		50	(53.8%)	128	(30.5%)	Given		4	(4.3%)	127	(30.2%)
Sex	513					Not given		89	(95.7%)	293	(69.8%)
Female		35	(37.6%)	143	(34.0%)	Camostat	513				
Male		58	(62.4%)	277	(66.0%)	Given		0	(0%)	13	(3.1%)
Diabetes	509					Not given		93	(100%)	407	(96.9%)
Present		20	(21.7%)	134	(32.1%)	Hydroxychloroquine	513				
Absent		72	(78.3%)	283	(67.9%)	Given		2	(2.2%)	10	(2.4%)
Cardiovascular						Not given		91	(97.8%)	410	(97.6%)
diseases	511					Remdesivir	513				
Present		27	(29.0%)	115	(27.5%)	Given		2	(2.2%)	60	(14.3%)
Absent		66	(71.0%)	303	(72.5%)	Not given		91	(97.8%)	360	(85.7%)
Diabetes or						Age ≥ 70 (male) or age	- 10			105	
cardiovascular	510					≥75 (female)	513	27	(29.0%)	165	(39.3%)
diseases						BUN ≥21 mg/dL or					(00 -0)
Present		40	(43.0%)	196	(47.0%)	dehydrated	432	13	(32.5%)	89	(22.7%)
Absent		53	(57.0%)	221	(53.0%)	Oxygen saturation ≤90%	425	22	(56.4%)	166	(43.0%)
Chronic lung diseases	509					Disturbance of		_		~ ~	
Present		7	(7.6%)	46	(11.0%)	consciousness	428	5	(12.5%)	36	(9.3%)
Absent		85	(92.4%)	371	(89.0%)	Systolic blood pressure			()		(
Immunosuppression	506					≤90 mmHg	424	0	(0%)	9	(2.3%)
Present		6	(6.5%)	37	(8.9%)	A-DROP score	417				
Absent		86	(93.5%)	377	(91.1%)	Mild (0)		11	(28.9%)	131	(34.6%)
Any of the above	507					Moderate (1)		12	(31.6%)	119	(31.4%)
comorbidities						Moderate (2)		7	(18.4%)	75	(19.8%)
Present		49	(52.7%)	236	(57.0%)	Severe (3)		6	(15.8%)	45	(11.9%)
Absent		44	(47.3%)	178	(43.0%)	Critical (4)		2	(5.3%)	9	(2.4%)
-			/		/						

1able 5. Demographics of patients with $00 m = 15 who received natamostal straining by musion meth$	Table 5.	Demographics of	patients with	COVID-19 who	received naf	amostat stratified	by infusion	meth
---	----------	-----------------	---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------------	-------------	------

Table 6. Clinical outcome stratified by infusion method in patients who received nafamostat Died in Transferred for Still in hospital Transferred for de-Discharged Outcome hospital escalation of care (alive) escalation of care alive (n=374) Intermittent 7 (17.5%) 0 (0%) 4 (10.0%) 12 (30.0%) 17 (42.5%) Continuous 51 (15.3%) 27 (8.1%) 25 (7.5%) 22 (6.6%) 209 (62.6%)

Discussion

This study reports characteristics and clinical outcome of nearly 700 patients who received nafamostat for COVID-19 in hospitals across Japan. The patients were typically older with underlying diseases and developing respiratory failure from COVID-19, which is not surprising given the need for continuous infusion of nafamostat and its use for approved indications concentrated in intensive care units. The high rates of therapy with favipiravir and ciclesonide reflect the nature of the cohort that primarily captures COVID-19 patients received these two medications. However, it is plausible that COVID-19 patients for whom nafamostat is considered are also likely to receive other directed therapies such as favipiravir and remdesivir in clinical practice.

The one-month mortality rate of this cohort was 17.3% and exceeded 40% among those with severe disease. The mortality rates increased with age, which is in line with the findings from the favipiravir observational study⁷⁾.

Nafamostat has been used in the clinic for over 30 years in Japan, for various indications including acute pancreatitis. DIC. and anticoagulation during extracorporeal circulation. As a serine protease inhibitor, it has no direct antiviral activity, but instead inhibits TMPRSS2 which is abundant in human alveolar epithelial cells. TMPRSS2 activates the spike protein of coronaviruses allowing it to bind ACE2, thus nafamostat protects infection of human alveolar epithelial cells by SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 in vitro^{2,4,5)}. Additionally, it has been postulated that nafamostat may prevent clot formation and excessive inflammation that accompany severe COVID-19⁵⁾.

The 50% effective concentration (EC₅₀) of nafamostat in preventing infection of alveolar epithelial cells by SARS-CoV-2 is in the range of 5-10 nM^{4,5)}. The steady-state plasma concentrations of nafamostat when infused to patients with DIC at 0.1 mg/kg/h or 0.2 mg/kg/h are 14-130 ng/mL, which easily exceeds the EC₅₀⁸⁾. Since nafamostat is cleared from blood promptly after infusion, continuous infusion is likely preferred over intermittent infusion when it is used for the treatment of COVID-19 for its potential indirect antiviral efficacy.

Known side effects of nafamostat include hyperkalemia, hyponatremia, thrombocytopenia and liver function test abnormalities. This study did not collect information on possible/probable side effects of nafamostat. Nonetheless, periodic monitoring of complete blood count, electrolytes and liver function is recommended when nafamostat is used.

Acknowledgments

We thank all hospitals and healthcare providers that provided the clinical data for this study. This research was supported by AMED under Grant Number19fk0108150.

Antiviral Observational Study Group, Fujita Health University

Yohei Doi and Masashi Kondo (School of Medicine, Fujita Health University) Masahiko Ando and Yachiyo Kuwatsuka (Department of Advanced Medicine, Nagoya University Hospital) Takuma Ishihara (Innovative and Clinical Research Promotion Center, Gifu University Hospital)

References

1) Matsuyama S, Nagata N, Shirato K, Kawase M, Takeda M, Taguchi F. Efficient activation of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus spike protein by the transmembrane protease TMPRSS2. J Virol. 2010;84(24):12658-12664.

2) Yamamoto M, Matsuyama S, Li X, *et al.* Identification of Nafamostat as a Potent Inhibitor of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus S Protein-Mediated Membrane Fusion Using the Split-Protein-Based Cell-Cell Fusion Assay. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2016;60(11):6532-6539.

3) Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell. 2020;181(2):271-280 e278.

4) Hoffmann M, Schroeder S, Kleine-Weber H, Muller MA, Drosten C, Pohlmann S. Nafamostat Mesylate Blocks Activation of SARS-CoV-2: New Treatment Option for COVID-19. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020;64(6): e00754-20.

5) Yamamoto M, Kiso M, Sakai-Tagawa Y, *et al.* The Anticoagulant Nafamostat Potently Inhibits SARS-CoV-2 S Protein-Mediated Fusion in a Cell Fusion Assay System and Viral Infection In Vitro in a Cell-TypeDependent Manner. Viruses. 2020;12(6).

6) Doi K, Ikeda M, Hayase N, Moriya K, Morimura N, Group C-US. Nafamostat mesylate treatment in combination with favipiravir for patients critically ill with Covid-19: a case series. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):392.
7) Favipiravir Observational Study Group FHU. Favipiravir Observational Study Interim Report 2 http://www.kansensho.or.jp/uploads/files/topics/2019nco v/covid19_favip_eng_0928.pdf. Published 2020. Accessed.
8) Nichi-Iko Pharmaceutical Co. L. Pharmaceutical interview form for FUTHAN 10 INJ., FUTHAN 50 INJ. https://www.nichiiko.co.jp/medicine/file/31050/interview

/31050_interview.pdf. Published 2020. Accessed.